**Influence of aquatic habitat quality on *Aedes*, *Anopheles* and *Culex* larval abundance.**

# Introduction

As one of the most important insect vectors of illness, mosquitoes can spread a variety of pathogens that affect both human and animal health. Many mosquito species that transmit illnesses like malaria, yellow fever, Zika, dengue, chikungunya, West Nile virus, and lymphatic filariasis are found in the genera *Aedes*, *Anopheles*, and *Culex*. Due to their extensive geographic spread and their diverse range of breeding environments, they are threatening to public health, especially in tropical, subtropical, and even temperate regions of Africa and Asia.

Mosquitoes would propagate by female adults ovipositing in suitable breeding sites. Her choice of egg-laying may be reprimanded by environmental and physiological factors. But generally, they stay close to human habitations. Gravid females use visual cues and olfactory chemosensors to detect and evaluate potential aquatic larval habitat quality. They are highly receptive to the volatile organic compounds released from stagnant water sources like containers, tyres, puddles, gutters, and natural pools. These chemosignals provide information on the presence of microbial communities and nutrients that will support larval growth. Female mosquitoes also assess non-chemical parameters when choosing egg deposition sites. They prefer temporary, stagnant water bodies with no predators and high organic content that offer nutrition for filter-feeding larvae.

Habitat quality for a mosquito species may be determined by many factors including physicochemical properties, competing species and habitat structure. Indeed, artificial habitats such as tyres, containers, puddles, tyre tracks and gutters may have differential potentiality for mosquito oviposition and larval development. Other key physicochemical factors are appropriate water depth, temperature, pH, sunlight exposure, and lack of disturbance - all critical for egg hatching and larval development success. The qualities of water in breeding sites play a crucial role in both the laying of eggs and the growth of mosquitoes. The number of mosquito larvae is contingent on several factors, including vegetation, temperature, turbidity, acidity, and the concentrations of various substances, including ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, sulfate, phosphate, chloride, calcium, and hardness of the water (Nikookar et al., 2017). Even more, habitat types and ecozones are critical to mosquito survival and reproductive success. (David et al., 2021).

Understanding how different ecozones govern the abundance and distribution of mosquito vector species is crucial for disease prevention and mosquito control efforts. Certain ecozones may provide more conducive conditions that enable higher mosquito population densities and elevated disease transmission risks. Lowland rainforests and rainforests are two contrasting tropical ecozones that may differentially impact the larval abundance of Aedes, Culex, and Anopheles mosquitoes through their distinctive environmental characteristics.

Nigeria has a high prevalence of malaria, lymphatic filariasis, dengue fever and many other diseases transmitted by mosquito vectors. Understanding the local ecology of these vectors is important for their management, in curbing their public health risk. While many researchers study a single population, there is a paucity of studies showing the multiple effects of physicochemical properties on multiple mosquito populations simultaneously. These factors may not equally affect every mosquito larva due to species' physiology differences and environmental growth requirements. Not much data currently exists regarding the physiochemical characteristics of mosquito larval habitats for multiple species. Many studies in Nigeria only compare a few physicochemical properties of mosquito abundance, which may be an oversimplification of the multivariable affecting mosquito abundance.

In this study, we conducted a field study to estimate the multivariate effects of physicochemical properties of water and species co-occurrence on Aedes, Anopheles and Culex larva abundance. We also investigated the difference in abundance across habitat types in three ecological zones.

# Materials and methods

## Data analysis

All statistical analysis was done in R version 4.1.3. Artificial habitat types were classified into five categories (container, gutter, puddles, tyres, tyre tracks). Only positive habitat types were used for this study. We employed a step-up regression method to create a generalized linear mixed effect model using a poisson distribution with a log link function. Only predictor variables which were significant (p <0.05) were included as fixed effect in the model. Dependence of observation from ecozones and habitat type made us include these factors as random effects in the model. GLMMs were computed using the lme4 package and glmer function. The most informative and parsimonious model was selected through second-order Akaike’s information criterion scores (AICc)

We computed separate negative binomial models to check for the effect of habitat type on *Aedes*, *Anopheles* and *Culex* mosquito abundance. We preferred a negative binomial model over a Poisson, due to the over-dispersion in the data.

Since there were more five levels in the habitat, we used the “glht()” function in the “multcomp” package to conduct Tukey tests for multiple comparisons (Hothorn et al., 2008).

To ordinate the the mosquito species most associated with an artificial habitat type, we created a canonical analysis. First, we checked for and found signigicance difference in dispersion using the chisq.test function. After which, A correspondence analysis biplot was created using the CA for analysis and fviz\_ca\_biplot for visualization.

We used the FactoMineR package to create principal component analysis of the physicochemical parameters.

All figures were made using ggplot function in the ggplot2 package.

# RESULT

In total, 642 larva mosquitoes were collected across all sites and habitats. This included 91 *Anopheles*, 200 *Culex* and 351 *Aedes* species. Correspondence analysis (CA) biplot showed that *Aedes* was most associated with containers and puddles, Culex with used tyres, and anopheles with Tyre tracks. Overall, most mosquitoes were collected from used tyres (320), puddles (210) and containers (43) (see Tabe 1).

According to the Principal component analysis (PCA), the first two axes explained 55.5% of total variation. The first axis accounted for 41.2% of data variance, with total solid, suspended solid, colour, magnesium, sulphate, hardness, chloride, turbidity and Nitrate as the variables that most contributed to explaining the dataset variation. TDS, Conductivity, Alkalinity, pH, phosphate and turbidity were the main variables explaining the second component (accounting for 14.3% of the variance) (Figue .

PCA of the habitats and ecozones is represented in Figure \_ Puddles and tyre tracks had the most heterogeneous clusters. Containers and used tyres were the most homogenous, with great overlap in their clustering (Figure \_). Furthermore, the PCA ordination did not show much disparity in the characteristics of each habitat in the ecozones.

The correlation matrix of physicochemical properties of the habitats is represented in Figure \_. Pearson’s correlation matrix (Figure\_) depicts that turbidity was strongly positively correlated (r >=0.7) with colour, suspended solids and Total solids. DO was negatively correlated with all assessed physicochemical variables, except pH and water depth. A similar observation was seen with Depth.

Table 1: Mosquito larvae collected at the sampling locations and their abundance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Habitat (n) | Anopheles (%) | Culex (%) | Aedes (%) | Mean ± SD | Total (%) |
| Containers (6) | 0 (0) | 4 | 39 | 7.17±11.29 | 43 (100) |
| Gutters (3) | 21 | 12 | 2 | 11.67±17.62 | 35 (100) |
| Puddles (5) | 25 | 25 | 160 | 42.00±70.03 | 210 (100) |
| Tyre track (5) | 34 | 0 | 0 | 6.80±6.49 | 34 (100) |
| Used tyres (13) | 11 | 159 | 150 | 24.61±20.78 | 320 (100) |


n= number of samples; SD= Standard Deviation


Culex larvae were more prevalent in used tyres compared to other environments, with a density of 12.23 ± 15.38. Aedes larvae showed significantly higher densities in both used tyres and puddles compared to other habitats, having mean densities of 11.54±15.34 and 32.00±68.79, respectively.


Used tyres harbored the highest density of Culex larvae (12.23±15.38), significantly differing from other habitats (P<0.05), while Culex larval abundance was highest in used tyres and absent in Tyre tracks.


For Anopheles, larval mosquito abundance did not significantly (P>0.05) differ between gutters (7.00±10.39), puddles (5.00±9.51), and tyre tracks (6.80±6.49). However. Containers had no presence of Anopheles larvae and did not significantly differ from used tyres which had a density of 0.84±2.15.

Culex and Aedes larvae were not observed in tyre tracks, while Aedes larvae were absent in containers.









Figure\_: PCA of habitat and their ecozones. Ellipse was set to a 95% confidence interval (CI). However, CI could not be calculated for “Gutters” due to few data points.

Table 2: Physicochemical properties of mosquito larva habitats, represented as mean ± standard deviation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Habitat | Container | Gutters | Puddles | Tyre tracks | Used tyres |
| pH | 6.15±0.35 | 7.03±1.21 | 6.94±0.67 | 7.3±1.01 | 6.6±0.88 |
| Colour | 81.16±36.82 | 1878±2877.07 | 3139.6±2781.72 | 4153.4±31 | 608.15±797.83 |
| Turbidity | 12.333±7.94 | 436.33±661.42 | 817.4±842.95 | 855.8±484.35 | 77.92±89.82 |
| TDS | 57.42±67.32 | 81.27±46.31 | 108.12±104.37 | 106±64.04 | 70.94±30.94 |
| Suspended Solid | 8.33±5.98 | 250.67±378.77 | 374±324.79 | 776±687.10 | 129.92±278.72 |
| Total Solid | 65.75±67.47 | 331.93±370.20 | 482.12±287.72 | 882±730.19 | 200.86±273.88 |
| Conductivity | 108.33±127.03 | 153.33±87.37 | 204±196.93 | 200±120.83 | 133.85±58.39 |
| Chloride | 14.12±6.31 | 32.94±4.07 | 50.832±40.06 | 39.536±22.66 | 22.81±16.34 |
| Alkalinity | 29.33±21.75 | 86±72.58 | 57.6±15.71 | 87.2±66.19 | 53.85±35.11 |
| Hardness as CaCO3 | 25.67±29.59 | 53.33±41.05 | 83.6±66.31 | 116.4±68.31 | 54.15±36.28 |
| Phosphate | 0.49±0.40 | 3.67±2.49 | 36.082±74.12 | 5.046±3.51 | 1.13±0.99 |
| Sulphate | 17.5±18.98 | 88.67±101.93 | 35±26.63 | 119.8±128.26 | 29.46±14.40 |
| Nitrate | 5.94±7.43 | 28.73±37.18 | 28.538±25.68 | 27.14±17.71 | 10.75±11.95 |
| DO | 7.38±1.58 | 4.8±4.42 | 2.62±1.64 | 3.82±2.49 | 4.08±1.82 |
| BOD | 2.56±1.42 | 16.03±20.67 | 10.282±6.69 | 12.54±17.42 | 9.09±14.66 |
| Calcium | 7.61±11.61 | 18.95±15.45 | 26.934±21.08 | 28.70±19.31 | 16.28±13.40 |
| Magnesium | 1.38±1.08 | 1.62±1.13 | 3.988±3.64 | 10.79±9.70 | 2.62±1.65 |

Table : Results of the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) of the number of immature Anopheles in larval habitats.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dependent variable** | **Effect** | **Term** | **Estimate** | **SE** | **Z-Value** | **p-value** |
| Anopheles Count | Fixed | Intercept | -0.1065 | 0.9494 | -0.112 | >0.05 |
|  |  | Turbidity | -0.8887 | 0.2863 | -3.104 | <0.01 |
|  |  | DO | -2.6321 | 0.4059 | -6.485 | <0.001 |
|  |  | *Culex* count | -0.5686 | 0.2636 | -2.157 | <0.05 |
|  |  | *Aedes* count | -1.0627 | 0.2632 | -4.037 | <0.001 |
|  |  | Depth | 1.3394 | 0.3687 | 3.633 | <0.001 |
|  |  | Magnesium | -0.6989 | 0.1834 | -3.810 | <0.001 |
|  | Interaction | Turbidity \* DO | 1.2109 | 0.2756 | 4.394 | <0.001 |

Turbidity, DO, Depth, Magnesium, Culex and Aedes count influenced the Anopheles density. There was an interactive effect between turbidity and DO which had a positive relationship with Anopheles density. The Depth was also positively related to *Anopheles* density.

Table : Results of the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) of the number of immature Aedes in larval habitats.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dependent variable** | **Effect** | **Term** | **Estimate** | **SE** | **Z-Value** | **p-value** |
| Aedes Count | Fixed |  | -0.7078 | 1.6976 | -0.417 | P >0.05 |
|  |  | Anopheles Count | -0.9053 | 0.2375 | -3.812 | P<0.001 |
|  |  | Suspended Solid | 2.1395 | 0.6143 | 3.483 | P<0.001 |
|  |  | TDS | -1.9979 | 0.1691 | -11.813 | P<0.001 |
|  |  | Chloride | 0.2304 | 0.1486 | 1.550 | P >0.05 |
|  |  | Colour | -3.7158 | 0.3981 | -9.334 | P<0.001 |
|  |  | BOD | -1.9126 | 0.4001 | -4.781 | P<0.001 |

The GLMM model was utilized to predict the prevalence of Aedes larvae. It was constructed with six independent factors (Anopheles population, suspended solids, total dissolved solids (TDS), chloride levels, color, and biological oxygen demand (BOD)), all of which except Chloride showed notable impact. Anopheles density, TDS, color, and BOD displayed a negative correlation with Aedes abundance, whereas suspended solids and chloride exhibited a positive correlation with Aedes density.

Table 3: Results of the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) of the number of immature Culicidae in larval habitats.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dependent variable** | **Effect** | **Term** | **Estimate** | **SE** | **Z-Value** | **p-value** |
| Culex Count | Fixed | Intercept | -0.6317 | 1.1381 | -0.555 | P>0.05 |
|  |  | Turbidity | -4.7911 | 0.6119 | -7.830 | P<0.001 |
|  |  | pH | -0.4662 | 0.1768 | -2.636 | P<0.01 |
|  |  | Nitrate | 1.6897 | 0.2405 | 7.025 | P<0.001 |
|  |  | BOD | 0.3048 | 0.1457 | 2.092 | P<0.01 |
|  |  | DO | -0.7392 | 0.2333 | -3.169 | P<0.01 |
|  |  | TDS | -0.8355 | 0.2097 | -3.985 | P<0.001 |
|  | Interaction | DO\*TDS | 1.2212 | 0.4028 | 3.032 | P<0.01 |

Culex density was most affected by turbidity, pH, Nitrate, BOD, DO and TDS. GLMM showed that turbidity, pH, DO, and TDS had negative relationships with culex density.




Figure \_ : CA biplot representing the relationship between mosquito larvae and the Habitats.








Figure \_ : Scree plot of principal component of physicochemical parameters. It shows that the first and second dimensions were enough to explain \_\_% of the variation in the data.









REFERENCES

David, M. R., Dantas, E. S., Maciel-de-Freitas, R., Codeço, C. T., Prast, A. E., & Lourenço-de-Oliveira, R. (2021). Influence of Larval Habitat Environmental Characteristics on Culicidae Immature Abundance and Body Size of Adult Aedes aegypti. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*, 626757. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.626757

Nikookar, S. H., Fazeli-Dinan, M., Azari-Hamidian, S., Mousavinasab, S. N., Aarabi, M., Ziapour, S. P., Esfandyari, Y., & Enayati, A. (2017). Correlation between mosquito larval density and their habitat physicochemical characteristics in Mazandaran Province, northern Iran. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *11*(8), e0005835. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005835

Hothorn, T., F. Bretz, and P. Westfall. 2008. “Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.” Biometrical Journal 50: 346–63.